10/25/2021 Assessment Details



## **Assessment Details**

SCORE: 2.1 Bjelland, Mark

**SUBMITTED** 2020-12-06 00:01:43

**♦ ASSESSED** 2020-12-09 21:06:57 **★ Results** Seen 2020-12-09 21:07:27

ASSESSOR Bren, Aura (external)



OVERALL COMMENT: students.

Mark showed enthusiasm for his lesson and did a great job relating to the

## **Assessed Criteria**

Criterion	Description	Score	Comments
Completion of Assignments: Candidate successfully completes tasks by deadlines			.0
Punctuality - Candidate arrives punctually		0.0 2.0	.0
Prepared - Candidate is consistently prepared			.0
Attendance - Candidate attends required hours as scheduled		<b>▼</b>	.0
Accountability - Candidate follows through on all assigned tasks		0.0 3	.0
Safety - Canddiate contributes to a safe/secure environment by following established procedures			.0
Appearance - Candidate follows dress code by keeping a well-kept appearance		0.0	.0
Cooperation - Candidate engages collegially with others* *others refers to peers, collaborators, administrators, etc.			.0
Appearance of Work Area - Candidate keeps work area neat/orderly			.0
Attitude - Candidate shows optimism in all settings/times		0.0 2.0	.0

Criterion	Description	Score	2.0	Comment
Respectfulness - Candidate is polite in all dealings with others		0.0	3.0	
Accepts Criticism - Candidate accepts responsibility for actions by applying suggested changes to future work		0.0	3.0	
Flexibility - Candidate has capacity to respond to changing situations/expectations		0.0	3.0	
Policies & Procedures - Candidate follows organization's policies/procedures consistently		0.0	3.0	
Respect - Candidate treats others with respect at all times		0.0	3.0	
Willingness to Develop Skills - Candidate is willing to take on challenges to learn new echniques		0.0	3.0	
Organizational Skills - Candidate has capacity to use time effectively by staying on track/focused		0.0	3.0	
Confidentiality - Candidate does not discuss nternal events with coworkers or peers		0.0	3.0	
Oral Communication - Candidate's articulation/intonation is appropriately engaging		0.0	3.0	
Oral Communication - Candidate uses professional language* *refers to few grammatical errors, proper usage of educational lingo/terminology		0.0	3.0	
Written Communication - Candidate clearly organizes ideas in written communication		0.0	3.0	
Written Communication - Candidate uses professional language* in written communication *refers to proper usage of educational terminology, proper grammar/punctuation		0.0	3.0	
Digital Communication - Candidate uses electronic means of communicating in a responsible way		0.0	3.0	
Respectful Language - Candidate uses positive wording* when working with others. *refers to non-judgmental, kind tone, not sarcastic or shaming		0.0	3.0	
Respectful Dialogue - Candidate asks appropriate questions to seek clarification when needed		0.0	3.0	

10/25/2021 Assessment Details

Criterion	Description	Score	2.0	Comments
Quality of Work - Candidate's work is consistently thorough/organized		0.0	3.0	
Quality of Work - Candidate's work shows evidence of strong effort/initiative		0.0	3.0	
Student Interaction - Candidate ensures high quality engagement		0.0	3.0	
Student Interaction - Candidate respects others' dignity/confidentiality		0.0	3.0	
Conflict Resolution - Candidate seeks constructive approaches to resolving issues		0.0	3.0	
Technology Usage - Candidate uses school- approved technology that promotes student learning		0.0	3.0	

Annotated Documents

Comments on Page Content